Compliance Mainte	enance Annual Report		
Dorchester Wastewater T	reatment Facility	Last Updated: 7/27/2015	Reporting For 2014
Resolution or Owner's	s Statement		
Name of Governing Body or Owner:	Village of Dorchester		
Date of Resolution or Action Taken:			
Resolution Number:	278		
Effluent Quality: BOD: G	rade = A		
Effluent Quality: TSS: G	rade = A		
Effluent Quality: Ammor	nia: Grade = B		
Ponds: Grade = A			
Biosolids Quality and Ma	anagement: Grade = A		
Staffing: Grade = A			
Operator Certification: (Grade = A		
Financial Management:	Grade = A		
Collection Systems: Gra	de = A		

ACTIONS SET FORTH BY THE GOVERNING BODY OR OWNER RELATING TO THE OVERALL GRADE POINT AVERAGE AND ANY GENERAL COMMENTS (Optional for G.P.A. greater than or equal to 3.00,

required for G.P.A. less than 3.00)

G.P.A. = 3.8

Dorchester Wastewater Treatment Facility

Last Updated: Reporting For: 2014

7/27/2015

Influent Flow and Loading

1. Monthly Average Flows and (C)BOD Loadings

1.1 Verify the following monthly flows and (C)BOD loadings to your facility.

Outfall No. 701	Influent Monthly Average Flow, MGD	х	Influent Monthly Average (C)BOD Concentration mg/L	x	8.34	=	Influent Monthly Average (C)BOD Loading, lbs/day
3	0.0676		493	x	8.34	_	278
January	0.0676	Х		^			
February	0.0663	Х	167	Х	8.34	=	92
March	0.1126	х	162	х	8.34	=	152
April	0.2202	х	135	Х	8.34	=	248
May	0.1475	Х	111	Х	8.34	=	136
June	0.1120	х	154	Х	8.34	=	144
July	0.0838	Х	159	X	8.34	=	111
August	0.0775	Х	243	Х	8.34	=	157
September	0.1235	х	210	Х	8.34	=	216
October	0.1203	Х	169	X	8.34	=	169
November	0.1293	Х	193	Х	8.34	=	208
December	0.1102	Х	158	Х	8.34	=	145

2. Maximum Month Design Flow and Design (C)BOD Loading

2.1 Verify the design flow and loading for your facility.

Design	Design Factor	х	%	=	% of Design
Max Month Design Flow, MGD	.128	Х	90	=	0.1152
_		х	100	=	.128
Design (C)BOD, lbs/day	304	X	90	=	273.6
		X	100	=	304

2.2 Verify the number of times the flow and (C)BOD exceeded 90% or 100% of design, points earned, and score:

Points 10 3 3 0 Total Number of Points 16					
Exceedance:	5	5	3	1	0
Points per e		2	1	3	2
December	1	0	0	0	0
November	1	1	1	0	0
October	1	1	0	0	0
September	1	1	0	0	0
August	1	0	0	0	0
July	1	0	0	0	0
June	1	0	0	0	0
May	1	1	1	0	0
April	1	1	1	0	0
March	1	0	0	0	0
February	1	0	0	0	0
January	1	0	0	1	0
	of Influent	_	flow was greater than 100% of	than 90% of design	than 100% of design
		Number of times		Number of times (C)BOD was greater	Number of times

16

Dorchester Wastewater Treatment Facility

Jordiester Wasterrater From Transfer	7/27/2015	2014
3. Flow Meter 3.1 Was the influent flow meter calibrated in the last year? ● Yes Enter last calibration date (MM/DD/YYYY) 09/19/2	014	
O No If No, please explain:		
 4. Sewer Use Ordinance 4.1 Did your community have a sewer use ordinance that limited or proexcessive conventional pollutants ((C)BOD, SS, or pH) or toxic substant industries, commercial users, hauled waste, or residences? Yes No If No, please explain: 	phibited the discharge ices to the sewer from	of
4.2 Was it necessary to enforce the ordinance? o Yes		
© No		
If Yes, please explain:		
5. Septage Receiving 5.1 Did you have requests to receive septage at your facility? Septic Tanks Holding Tanks Grease Traps		
o Yes • Yes • Yes		
● No O No ● No		
5.2 Did you receive septage at your facility? If yes, indicate volume in g Septic Tanks o Yes gallons	jallons.	
No Holding Tanks		
• Yes 1,884,100 gallons		
o No		}
Grease Traps o Yes gallons		j
• No 5.2.1 If yes to any of the above, please explain if plant performance is	s affected when receiv	ring
None		
 6. Pretreatment 6.1 Did your facility experience operational problems, permit violations or hazardous situations in the sewer system or treatment plant that we commercial or industrial discharges in the last year? o Yes No If yes, describe the situation and your community's response. 		cerns,
6.2 Did your facility accept hauled industrial wastes, landfill leachate, e	etc.?	

Last Updated: Reporting For:

Dorchester Wastewater Treatment Facility

Last Updated: Reporting For:

2014

7/27/2015

● No

If yes, describe the types of wastes received and any procedures or other restrictions that were in place to protect the facility from the discharge of hauled industrial wastes.

Total Points Generated	16
Score (100 - Total Points Generated)	84
Section Grade	В

Dorchester Wastewater Treatment Facility

Last Updated: Reporting For:

7/27/2015

2014

Effluent Quality and Plant Performance (BOD/CBOD)

1	Fffluar	nt (C	IROD	Results
1.	cilluei	ᄟᇿ	JOUL	VE201173

1.1 Verify the following monthly average effluent values, exceedances, and points for BOD or **CBOD**

November 12 10.8 9 1 0 0 0								
January 12 10.8 0 1 0 0	Outfall No. 001	Average	Permit Limit		Discharge		90% Permit Limit Exceedance	
February 12 10.8 0 1 0 0 March 25 22.5 2 1 0 0 April 25 22.5 4 1 0 0 May 25 22.5 8 1 0 0 June 10 10 July 12 10.8 7 1 0 0 August 12 10.8 6 1 0 0 September 12 10.8 9 1 0 0 October 12 10.8 6 1 0 0 November 12 10.8 7 1 0 0 Points per each exceedance with 11 months of discharge 8 3 Exceedances 0 0 0	January			0	1	0	0	
March 25 22.5 2 1 0 0 April 25 22.5 4 1 0 0 May 25 22.5 8 1 0 0 June 10 10 0 0 0 July 12 10.8 7 1 0 0 August 12 10.8 6 1 0 0 September 12 10.8 9 1 0 0 October 12 10.8 6 1 0 0 November 12 10.8 6 1 0 0 December 12 10.8 6 1 0 0 Months of discharge/yr 11 0 0 0 Points per each exceedance with 11 months of discharge 8 3 Exceedances 0 0 0				0	1	0	0	
May 25 22.5 8 1 0 0 June 10 10 <		25	22.5	2	1	0	0	
June 10 10 July 12 10.8 7 1 0 0 August 12 10.8 6 1 0 0 September 12 10.8 9 1 0 0 October 12 10.8 6 1 0 0 November 12 10.8 7 1 0 0 December 12 10.8 6 1 0 0 Months of discharge/yr 11 0 0 0 Points per each exceedance with 11 months of discharge 8 3 Exceedances 0 0 0	April	25	22.5	4	1	0	0	
July 12 10.8 7 1 0 0 August 12 10.8 6 1 0 0 September 12 10.8 9 1 0 0 October 12 10.8 6 1 0 0 November 12 10.8 7 1 0 0 December 12 10.8 6 1 0 0 * Equals limit if limit is <= 10 Months of discharge/yr 11 11 11 Points per each exceedance with 11 months of discharge 8 3 Exceedances 0 0 Points 0 0	May	25	22.5	8	1	0	0	
August 12 10.8 6 1 0 0 September 12 10.8 9 1 0 0 October 12 10.8 6 1 0 0 November 12 10.8 7 1 0 0 December 12 10.8 6 1 0 0 Months of discharge/yr 11 0 0 0 Points per each exceedance with 11 months of discharge 8 3 Exceedances 0 0 0 Points 0 0 0	June	10	10					
September 12 10.8 9 1 0 0	July	12	10.8	7	1	0	0	
October 12 10.8 6 1 0 0 November 12 10.8 7 1 0 0 December 12 10.8 6 1 0 0 * Equals limit if limit is <= 10	August	12	10.8	6	1	0	0	
November 12 10.8 7 1 0 0	September	12	10.8	9	1	0	0	
December 12	October	12	10.8	6	1	0	0	
* Equals limit if limit is <= 10 Months of discharge/yr Points per each exceedance with 11 months of discharge Exceedances O O O	November	12	10.8	7	1	0	0	
Months of discharge/yr Points per each exceedance with 11 months of discharge Exceedances O O O O	December	12	10.8	6	1	0	0	
Points per each exceedance with 11 months of discharge 8 3 Exceedances 0 0 O O O			* Eq	uals limit if limit is	<= 10			
Exceedances 0 0 0 Points 0 0	Months of d	ischarge/yr			11			
Points 0 0	Points per e	ach exceedance	e with 11 mor	nths of discharge		8	3	
	Exceedance							
Total number of points 0	Points	Points						
	Total num	Total number of points 0						

NOTE: For systems that discharge intermittently to state waters, the points per monthly exceedance for this section shall be based upon a multiplication factor of 12 months divided by the number of months of discharge. Example: For a wastewater facility discharging only 6 months of the year, the multiplication factor is 12/6 = 2.0

1.2 If any violations occurred, what action was taken to regain compliance?

n I	1 A
1/1	//

2.1 Was the effluent flow meter calibrated in the last year?

Yes

Enter last calibration date (MM/DD/YYYY)

09/19/2014

o No

If No, please explain:

3. Treatment Problems

3.1 What problems, if any, were experienced over the last year that threatened treatment?

Wet cold winter/spring

4. Other Monitoring and Limits

- 4.1 At any time in the past year was there an exceedance of a permit limit for any other pollutants such as chlorides, pH, residual chlorine, fecal coliform, or metals?
- o Yes
- @ No

If Yes, please explain:

Dorchester Wastewater Treatment Facility

Last Updated: Reporting For:

7/27/2015

2014

N	/Δ
1.34	10

4.2 At any time in the past year was there a failure of an effluent acute or chronic whole effluent toxicity (WET) test?

o Yes

● No

If Yes, please explain:

N/A

4.3 If the biomonitoring (WET) test did not pass, were steps taken to identify and/or reduce source(s) of toxicity?

o Yes

o No

N/A

Please explain unless not applicable:

N/A

Total Points Generated				
Score (100 - Total Points Generated)				
Section Grade				

Dorchester Wastewater Treatment Facility

Last Updated: Reporting For:

7/27/2015

2014

0

Effluent Quality and Plant Performance (Total Suspended Solids)

1. Effluent Total Suspended Solids Results

1.1 Verify the following monthly average effluent values, exceedances, and points for TSS:

o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o	90% Permit Limit Exceedance 0 0 0
0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0
0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0
0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0
0 0 0	0 0
0	0
0	0
0	
-	0
0	0
0	0
0	0
0	0
0	0
8	3
0	0
0	0
	0
	0 0 0 0 0

NOTE: For systems that discharge intermittently to state waters, the points per monthly exceedance for this section shall be based upon a multiplication factor of 12 months divided by the number of months of discharge.

Example: For a wastewater facility discharging only 6 months of the year, the multiplication factor is 12/6 = 2.0

1.2 If any violations occurred, what action was taken to regain compliance?

Total Points Generated	0
Score (100 - Total Points Generated)	100
Section Grade	Α

Dorchester Wastewater Treatment Facility

Last Updated: Reporting For:

7/27/2015

2014

Effluent Quality and Plant Performance (Ammonia - NH3)

1	Effluent	Ammonia	Results
4.1	LINGELL		I/CSUICS

1.1 Verify the following monthly and weekly average effluent values, exceedances and points for NH3

Outfall No. 001	Monthly Average	Weekly Average	Effluent Monthly	Monthly Permit	Effluent Weekly	Effluent Weekly	Effluent Weekly	Effluent Weekly Average	Weekly Permit Limit
}	NH3 Limit	NH3 Limit	Average NH3	Limit Exceed	Average for Week	Average for Week	Average for Week	for Week	
	(mg/L)	(mg/L)	(mg/L)	ance	1	2	3	4	ance
January	6.5		1.575	0					
February	6.5		4.35	0					
March	10		8.85	0					j
April	13		13.8	1					ļ
May	11		8.375	0					
June	2.6			0					
July	2.3		.02	0					
August	2.5		.375	0					
September	3.6		.3	0					
October	5.7		.06	0					
November	7.2		.025	0					
December	6.5		1.16	0					
Points per e	ach excee	dance of N	Monthly av	erage:					10
Exceedance	Exceedances, Monthly:						1		
Points:						10			
Points per each exceedance of weekly average (when there is no monthly averge):							2.5		
Exceedance	s, Weekly	:							0
Points:						. <u> </u>			0
Total Num	ber of Po	ints							10

NOTE: Limit exceedances are considered for mothly OR weekly averages but not both. When a monthly average limit exists it will be used to detect exceedances and generate points. This will be true even if a weekly limit also exists. When a weekly average limit exists and a monthly limit does not exist, the weekly limit will be used to detect exceedances and gernate points.

1.2 If any violations occurred, what action was taken to regain compliance?

Due to late ice melt and wet cold spring, NH3 elevated. As soon as it warmed up, it came down.

Total Points Generated	10
Score (100 - Total Points Generated)	90
Section Grade	В

10

Dorchester Wastewater Treatment Facility

Last Updated: Reporting For:

7/27/2015

2014

Ponds And Lagoon Leakage

1. Pond Lining

1.1 What material was used to line your ponds?

Ponds 1,2,3-Clay Ponds 4,5-PVC

2. Flow Measurements

2.1 Did you measure influent flow to your wastewater ponds or lagoons?

Yes (0 points)

o No (40 points) (Go to question 6)

2.1.1 Method of influent flow measurement:

Electromagnetic Flow Meter

2.2 Did you measure effluent flow discharged from your wastewater system either to the land disposal system or to the receiving stream?

Yes (0 points)

o No (40 points) (Go to question 6)

o No Discharge (0 points)

2.2.1 Method of effluent flow measurement:

Electromagnetic Flow Meter

- 3. Total Flow Volumes
- 3.1 Total monthly influent and effluent flow volumes from the pond/lagoon system during the last calendar year.

Total Monthly Influent Volume		Total Monthly Effluent Volume
2.095	JANUARY	2.282
1.855	FEBRUARY	4.536
3.49	MARCH	5.416
6.607	APRIL	5.217
4.573	MAY	5.811
3.359	JUNE	
2.597	JULY	4.105
2.403	AUGUST	2.839
3.706	SEPTEMBER	4.745
3.73	OCTOBER	3.996
3.878	NOVEMBER	3.613
3.416	DECEMBER	4.289
41.7090	YEARLY TOTAL	46.8490

3.2 From the Yearly Total influent and effluent volumes above, total effluent is divided by total influent and converted to a percent of volume loss.

Total effluent, MG => **46.8490**

1.123 <= effl / infl ratio

Total influent, MG =>

41.7090

Conversion to a percent of volume loss:

(1-effl/infl ratio) * 100 =

-12.3

% of influent lost and not discharged with effluent

Dorchester	Wastewater	Treatment	Facility

Last Updated: Reporting For:

7/27/2015

2014

						•								
4. i <u>n</u> e	Surface Area 1 What was the total clude seepage cells) .1		ater surfa	ce area of	th	ne ponds	/lago	ons at	operal	ing l	evel (d	o not		
5. po	Leakage Rate Estim 1 Total influent volu and/lagoon storage (e estimated leakage	ime (in Mo (in MG) is	the net wa	total efflue astewater	ent lo:	t volume ss. The	e (in N net lo	1G) plu ss divi	us or m ded by	ninus 0.00	the ch 00365	ange in equals		
	Total Annual	Influent (N	1G)	41.7	09	90				7			ı	
1	Total Annual	Effluent (N	1G)	46.8	349	90								
	Estimated Ne	et Loss (M	G)	-5.1	4(00							Ì	
	Estimated Leakag	ge Amoun	t (gpd)					46.84	90				ļ	
If you have a *Department approved* method for determining a change in storage volume, enter the storage change last year in MG below. o Storage Increase: Enter amount in MG -> o Storage Decrease: Enter amount in MG -> 5.2 CMAR Estimated Leakage Rate in gallons per acre per day (gpad): The CMAR Estimated Leakage Rate in gpad is the leakage amount in gpd (from part 5.1) divided by the total pond surface area (from question 4).														
	Leakage Amount (gpd)		Ac	res		CMAR Leak	Estim age R							
	-14082	divided by	9	9.1 = -1547										
6. wa	6. On Site Leakage Testing 6.1 Did you conduct and on-site, field water balance/leakage test on your ponds or lagoons that was approved by the Department and is still valid? • Yes • No If yes, what was the field Test Calculated Leakage Rate for your ponds/lagoons? gpad NOTE: if 6.1 is answered Yes, the value entered above in gpad will be used in 7.1 to compute points generated. 6.2 Leakage Rate Comments:													
7. ta I	7. Estimated Leakage Rate and Points 7.1 The CMAR Estimated Leakage Rate (from 5) is used to determine the points generated in the table below. If an approved field test was conducted and the results are still valid and accepted by the Department, the Field Calculated Leakage rate (from 5.2) is used to determine the points earned from the table below gpad points 0 - 1,000 0							0						
	1,001 -	- 2,000		1	0									

20

30

Based on the leakage rate in gpad, the points earned are:

2,001 - 4,000

4,001 - 7,000

> 7,000

Dorchester Wastewater Treatment Facility	Last Updated: 7/27/2015	Reporting Fo 2014	
Total Points Generated		0	
Score (100 - Total Points Generated	d)	100	
Section Grade		A	

Dorchester Wastewater Treatment Facility

Last Updated: Reporting For:

7/27/2015

2014

Biosolids Quality and Management

1. Biosolids Use/Disposal 1.1 How did you use or dispose of your biosolids? (Check all that apply) ☐ Land applied under your permit ☐ Publicly Distributed Exceptional Quality Biosolids ☐ Hauled to another permitted facility ☐ Landfilled ☐ Incinerated ☑ Other NOTE: If you did not remove biosolids from your system, please describe your system type such as lagoons, reed beds, recirculating sand filters, etc. 1.1.1 If you checked Other, please describe:	
Lagoons	
6. Biosolids Storage 6.1 How many days of actual, current biosolids storage capacity did your wastewater treatment facility have either on-site or off-site? o >= 180 days (0 Points) o 150 - 179 days (10 Points) o 120 - 149 days (20 Points) o 90 - 119 days (30 Points) o < 90 days (40 Points) • N/A (0 Points) 6.2 If you checked N/A above, explain why. Lagoons	O
7. Issues 7.1 Describe any outstanding biosolids issues with treatment, use or overall management: N/A	

Total Points Generated	0
Score (100 - Total Points Generated)	100
Section Grade	Α

Dorchester Wastewater Treatment Facility

Last Updated: Reporting For:

7/27/2015

2014

Staffing and Preventative Maintenance (All Treatment Plants)

Plant Staffing 1.1 Was your wastewater treatment plant adequately staffed last year?	
Yes No	
If No, please explain:	
N/A	
Could use more help/staff for:	
N/A	
1.2 Did your wastewater staff have adequate time to properly operate and maintain the plant and fulfill all wastewater management tasks including recordkeeping? 9 Yes	
o No	
If No, please explain:	
N/A	
 2. Preventative Maintenance 2.1 Did your plant have a documented AND implemented plan for preventative maintenance on major equipment items? Yes (Continue with question 2) No (40 points) 	
If No, please explain, then go to question 3:	
Tho, please explain, then go to question or	
2.2 Did this preventative maintenance program depict frequency of intervals, types of lubrication, and other tasks necessary for each piece of equipment? Yes	0
o No (10 points)	
2.3 Were these preventative maintenance tasks, as well as major equipment repairs, recorded and filed so future maintenance problems can be assessed properly? Yes	ļ
 Paper file system Computer system Both paper and computer system No (10 points) 	
3. O&M Manual 3.1 Does your plant have a detailed O&M Manual that can be used as a reference when needed? 9 Yes o No	
 4. Overall Maintenance /Repairs 4.1 Rate the overall maintenance of your wastewater plant. o Excellent Very good o Good o Fair o Poor Describe your rating: Even in a wet cold winter and fairly wet spring/summer, plant worked as good as to be 	
expected.	.[

Dorchester Wastewater Treatment Facility	Last Updated: 7/27/2015	Reporting For: 2014

Total Points Generated	0
Score (100 - Total Points Generated)	100
Section Grade	Α

Dorchester Wastewater Treatment Facility

Last Updated: Reporting For:

7/27/2015

2014

Operator Certification and Education

1. Operator-In-Charge 1.1 Did you have a designated operator-in-charge during the report year? • Yes (0 points) • No (20 points) Name RICHARD P GOLZ Certification No: 34402	0
Held: 2 - D; 2 - D=PONDS/AEREATED LAGOONS GRADE 2 2.2 Was the operator-in-charge certified at the appropriate level to operate this plant?	0
MAN operator on stan who has an operator-in-training certificate for your plant and is expected to	o
be certified within one year A consultant to serve as your certified operator None of the above (20 points) If "None of the above" is selected, please explain: 4. Continuing Education Credits	
 4.1 If you had a designated operator-in-charge, was the operator-in-charge earning Continuing Education Credits at the following rates? Grades T, 1, and 2: Averaging 6 or more CECs per year. O Averaging less than 6 CECs per year. Grades 3 and 4: O Averaging 8 or more CECs per year. O Averaging less than 8 CECs per year. 	

Total Points Generated	0
Score (100 - Total Points Generated)	100
Section Grade	A

Compliance Maintenance Annual Report Last Updated: Reporting For: **Dorchester Wastewater Treatment Facility** 7/27/2015 2014 **Financial Management** 1. Provider of Financial Information Name: Brooke Ruge (XXX) XXX-XXXX 715-654-5006 Telephone: E-Mail Address (optional): 2. Treatment Works Operating Revenues 2.1 Are User Charges or other revenues sufficient to cover O&M expenses for your wastewater treatment plant AND/OR collection system? Yes (0 points) No (40 points) If No, please explain: 2.2 When was the User Charge System or other revenue source(s) last reviewed and/or revised? Year: 2014 0 0-2 years ago (0 points) o 3 or more years ago (20 points) N/A (private facility) 2.3 Did you have a special account (e.g., CWFP required segregated Replacement Fund, etc.) or financial resources available for repairing or replacing equipment for your wastewater treatment plant and/or collection system? Yes (0 points) o No (40 points) REPLACEMENT FUNDS [PUBLIC MUNICIPAL FACILITIES SHALL COMPLETE QUESTION 3] 3. Equipment Replacement Funds 3.1 When was the Equipment Replacement Fund last reviewed and/or revised?

rear. 2014			
1-2 years ago (0 points)			
o 3 or more years ago (20 points)			
o N/A			
If N/A, please explain:			<u> </u>
N/A			
3.2 Equipment Replacement Fund Activity			
3.2.1 Ending Balance Reported on Last Year's CMAR		\$	40,237.87
3.2.2 Adjustments - if necessary (e.g. earned interest,		\$	0.00
audit correction, withdrawal of excess funds, increase making up previous shortfall, etc.)			
3.2.3 Adjusted January 1st Beginning Balance		\$	40,237.87
3.2.4 Additions to Fund (e.g. portion of User Fee,		. —	
earned interest, etc.)	+	\$	0.00
3.2.5 Subtractions from Fund (e.g., equipment replacement, major repairs - use description box			
3.2.6.1 below*)	-	\$	35,994.65
3.2.6 Ending Balance as of December 31st for			
CMAR Reporting Year		\$	4,243.22

Dorchester Wastewater Treatment Facility

5. Financial Management General Comments

Last Updated: Reporting For:

7/27/2015

2014

All Sources: This ending balance should include all Equipment Replacement Funds whether held in a bank account(s), certificate(s) of deposit, etc. 3.2.6.1 Indicate adjustments, equipment purchases, and/or major repairs	from 3.2.5 a	bove.	
VFD drive replacement; Head for lift station pump.			
, , ,	,000.00	·.	
Please note: If you had a CWFP loan, this amount was originally based on Assistance Agreement (FAA) and should be regularly updated as needed. instructions and an example can be found by clicking the HELP link under menu. 3.3.1 Is the December 31 Ending Balance in your Replacement Fund above greater than the amount that should be in it (#3.3)? Yes O No If No, please explain.	Further calcu Info in the le	lation ft-side	
N/A			
4. Future Planning 4.1 During the next ten years, will you be involved in formal planning for upgrading, rehabilitating, or new construction of your treatment facility or collection system? • Yes - If Yes, please provide major project information, if not already listed below. • No			
Project Project Description #	Cost	Approximate Construction Year	
1 Phosphorus Removal	200,000.00	2020	

Total Points Generated	0
Score (100 - Total Points Generated)	100
Section Grade	A

Dorchester Wastewater Treatment Facility

Last Updated: Reporting For:

7/27/2015

2014

Sanitary Sewer Collection Systems

	т
CMOM Program 1.1 Do you have a Capacity, Management, Operation & Maintenance (CMOM) requirement in your WPDES permit?	
Yes	
O No	
1.2 Did you have a documented (written records/files, computer files, video tapes, etc.) sanitary sewer collection system operation & maintenance (O&M) or CMOM program last calendar year?Yes (Continue with question 1)	
o No (30 points) (Go to question 2)	
1.3 Check the elements listed below that are included in your O&M or CMOM program.☒ Goals	
Describe the specific goals you have for your collection system:	ı
I & I reduction with possible sewer line replacement next year or two. Also 5 to 10 manholes being replaced. System cleaning and monitoring.	
☐ Organization	١
Do you have the following written organizational elements (check only those that apply)? Solution	
☐ Organizational chart	l
Personnel and position descriptions	l
☑ Internal communication procedures	İ
Public information and education program	l
☑ Legal Authority	
Do you have the legal authority for the following (check only those that apply)? Sewer use ordinance Last Revised Date (MM/DD/YYYY)2/6/2012	
☐ Pretreatment/industrial control Programs	l
☐ Fat, oil and grease control	
☑ Illicit discharges (commercial, industrial)	ł
☑ Private property clear water (sump pumps, roof or foundation drains, etc.)	I
☐ Private lateral inspections/repairs	
☐ Service and management agreements	ı
Maintenance Activities (provide details in question 2)	i
☐ Design and Performance Provisions	l
How do you ensure that your sewer system is designed and constructed properly? ☐ State plumbing code	
☐ DNR NR 110 standards	١
☐ Local municipal code requirements	ı
☐ Construction, inspection, and testing	ı
☑ Others:	
N/A	
☑ Overflow Emergency Response Plan:	l
Does your emergency response capability include (check only those that apply)? Alarm system and routine testing	
☑ Emergency equipment	
☑ Emergency procedures	
☑ Communications/notifications (DNR, internal, public, media, etc.)	
☐ Capacity Assurance:	ļ
How well do you know your sewer system? Do you have the following?	
☐ Current and up-to-date sewer map	1

Dorchester Wastewater Treatment Facility	Last Updated: 7/27/2015	Reporting For: 2014
Sewer system plans and specifications Manhole location map Lift station pump and wet well capacity information Lift station O&M manuals Within your sewer system have you identified the following? Areas with flat sewers Areas with surcharging Areas with bottlenecks or constrictions Areas with chronic basement backups or SSOs Areas with excess debris, solids, or grease accumulation Areas with heavy root growth Areas with excessive infiltration/inflow (I/I) Sewers with severe defects that affect flow capacity Adequacy of capacity for new connections Lift station capacity and/or pumping problems Annual Self-Auditing of your O&M/CMOM Program to ensure above co implemented, evaluated, and re-prioritized as needed Special Studies Last Year (check only those that apply): Infiltration/Inflow (I/I) Analysis Sewer System Evaluation Survey (SSES) Sewer Evaluation and Capacity Managment Plan (SECAP) Lift Station Evaluation Report Others:	mponents are bein	g
Operation and Maintenance 2.1 Did your sanitary sewer collection system maintenance program including maintenance activities? Complete all that apply and indicate the amount Cleaning 30 % of system/year		
Root removal 5 % of system/year		
Flow monitoring 100 % of system/year		
Smoke testing 0 % of system/year		
Sewer line televising 2 % of system/year		
Manhole		Į į
inspections 25 % of system/year		
Lift station O&M 100 # per L.S./year		1
Manhole rehabilitation 0 % of manholes rehabb	ed	
Mainline rehabilitation 0 % of sewer lines rehab	bed	
Private sewer inspections 5 % of system/year		
Private sewer I/I		
	n evetem holow:	
Please include additional comments about your sanitary sewer collection	ni system below:	 -
Continue to monitor I & I		
3. Performance Indicators		

Last Updated: Reporting For: **Dorchester Wastewater Treatment Facility** 7/27/2015 2014

					_
3.	1 Provide the follow	ng collection system and flow information for Total actual amount of precipitation last year	the past year. In inches		
		Annual average precipitation (for your location		į	
		Miles of sanitary sewer			
	3	Number of lift stations			
	2	Number of lift station failures			
	0	Number of sewer pipe failures			
	3	Number of basement backup occurrences		Ì	
	3	Number of complaints		ļ	
		Average daily flow in MGD (if available)		Ì	
		Peak monthly flow in MGD (if available)			
		Peak hourly flow in MGD (if available)		İ	
3.	2 Performance ratios			i	
i		Lift station failures (failures/year)			
		Sewer pipe failures (pipe failures/sewer mile,			
		Sanitary sewer overflows (number/sewer mil	e/yr)		
		Basement backups (number/sewer mile)			
	0.34	Complaints (number/sewer mile)			
		Peaking factor ratio (Peak Monthly:Annual Da		Ì	
		Peaking factor ratio (Peak Hourly:Annual Dai	ly Avg)		
4	Overflows				_
4.	Overflows	COMER (CCO) AND TREATMENT EACH ITY (TE	O) OFFREI OWS DE	DORTED **	
\vdash	Date	SEWER (SSO) AND TREATMENT FACILITY (TELEVISION LOCATION	Cause	Estimated	
Í	Date	Location	00000	Volume (MG)	
	<u> </u>	None reported			ı
*	* If there were any S	SOs or TFOs that are not listed above, please	contact the DNR a	nd stop work	
10	n this section until co	rrected.	······		
5.	Infiltration / Inflow	(I/I)			
	.1 Was infiltration/in ∍Yes	flow (I/I) significant in your community last y	/ear?		
	o No				
	If Yes, please descri	pe:	···-		
	Wet year from rain	and snow			
5.	.2 Has infiltration/inf	low and resultant high flows affected perform	nance or created pro	oblems in	
y	our collection system	, lift stations, or treatment plant at any time	in the past year?	ļ	
	Yes No				
	If Yes, please descri	oe:			
	N/A				
5		tion/inflow (I/I) changes this year from previ	ous vears:		
		than last year, but still higher then normal.			

5.4 What is being done to address infiltration/inflow in your collection system?

Dorchester Wastewater Treatment Facility

Last Updated: Reporting For:

7/27/2015

2014

looking to rehab 5 manholes and contunue on rehabbing 5 manholes a year.

Total Points Generated	0
Score (100 - Total Points Generated)	100
Section Grade	Α

Dorchester Wastewater Treatment Facility

Last Updated: Reporting For:

2014

7/27/2015

Grading Summary

WPDES No: 0021571

SECTIONS	LETTER GRADE	GRADE POINTS	WEIGHTING FACTORS	SECTION POINTS	
Influent	В	3	3	9	
BOD/CBOD	Α	4	10	40	
TSS	Α	4	5	20	
Ammonia	В	3	5	15	
Ponds	А	4	7	28	
Biosolids	A	4	5	20	
Staffing/PM	A	4	1	4	
OpCert	А	4	1	4	
Financial	Α	4	1	4	
Collection	A	4	3	12	
TOTALS			41	156	
GRADE POINT AVERAGE (GPA) = 3.8					

Notes:

A = Voluntary Range (Response Optional)

B = Voluntary Range (Response Optional)

C = Recommendation Range (Response Required)

D = Action Range (Response Required)

F = Action Range (Response Required)